IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.16 OF 2005

M/s.Maryn Forwarding Corpn.& Ors. ...Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

.....

None for Applicants.

Mr.Rajesh More, A.P.P. for Respondent No.1.

.....

CORAM: A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.

DECEMBER 23, 2005.

P.C.

1. None present for the Applicants when the matter is called out.

2. Applicants ought to have resorted to remedy of revision in view of the exposition of this Court in the cases of **V.K.Jain & Ors. vs. Pratap V.Padode reported in 2005(3) Mh.L.J. 778**, and in the unreported decision **in the case of Chetan R.Nagda & Ors. vs. N.D.Pawar & Anr. in Criminal Writ Petition No.1023 of 2005 dated 8th**

December 2005.

3. Accordingly, no interference is warranted in exercise of inherent jurisdiction. Dismissed.

A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.